The Honorable Neil Chatterjee, Chairman
The Honorable Richard Glick, Commissioner
The Honorable Cheryl A. LaFleur, Commissioner
The Honorable Bernard L. McNamee, Commissioner
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket Nos. PL19-3 and PL19-4 Notices of Inquiry and Increasing Transmission Costs

Dear Chairman Chatterjee and Commissioners:

As the Commission considers the comments filed in response to its notices of inquiry (NOIs) on transmission incentives (PL19-3) and return on equity (ROE) policies (PL19-4), the undersigned state public utility commissions, public power utilities, electric cooperatives, consumer advocates, industrial users of electricity, and associations urge the Commission to bear in mind the substantial transmission cost increases borne by customers in many regions of the country in recent years. The comments on the NOIs described and documented this sharp escalation in wholesale transmission rates and the concerns it has generated.¹

A number of the policy changes under consideration in the NOIs could contribute to further increases in transmission costs, while other NOI proposals would likely mitigate these expenses. Many of the undersigned entities submitted comments addressing the particular questions raised in the NOIs. We are writing now to emphasize our collective concern about increasing transmission costs, and to encourage the Commission to remain mindful of this concern as it weighs its transmission incentives and ROE policies. While the comments submitted in response to the NOIs were numerous and offered a diversity of views, maintaining transmission costs at a reasonable level for consumers must be a key touchstone in evaluating all policy recommendations.

The Commission should not equate our concern about rising transmission costs with opposition to transmission investment in general. Prudently planned and constructed transmission facilities can increase supply options, reduce congestion-related costs, integrate renewable resources, and promote grid reliability. We support such beneficial transmission investment and Commission policies that promote it. In evaluating transmission incentives and

¹ See, e.g., Docket No. PL19-3-000, Comments of the Delaware Municipal Electric Corporation, Inc. at 2 & n.7 (June 26, 2019); Docket No. PL19-3-000, Initial Comments of the Joint Commenters at 14-15 (June 26, 2019); Docket No. PL19-3-000, Comments of the Northern California Power Agency at 6 (June 26, 2019); Docket No. PL19-3-000, Comments of Transmission Access Policy Study Group at 17 (June 26, 2019).

ROE policies in the NOI proceedings, however, the potential increased cost burden on transmission customers must always remain a principal consideration, particularly in light of recent substantial cost increases.

We appreciate the Commission's consideration of this important issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Aluminum Association American Chemistry Council American Forest & Paper Association American Public Power Association Blue Ridge Power Agency California Department of Water Resources California Municipal Utilities Association California Public Utilities Commission Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, Pasadena, and Riverside, California (collectively, the "Six Cities") Coalition to Lower Energy Costs (Massachusetts) Connecticut Office of the Attorney General Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel Consumer Federation of America Delaware Municipal Electric Corporation **Electricity Consumers Resource Council** Energy Council of Rhode Island Florida Municipal Power Agency Illinois Industrial Energy Consumers Indiana Industrial Energy Consumers, Inc Industrial Energy Consumers of America Industrial Energy Consumer Group (Maine) Industrial Energy Consumers of Pennsylvania Industrial Energy Users-Ohio Kansas Corporation Commission Kansas Industrial Consumers Group, Inc. Louisiana Energy Users Group

Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company Modesto Irrigation District National Rural Electric Cooperative Association New Hampshire Electric Cooperative Inc. Northern California Power Agency Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority Old Dominion Electric Cooperative Pennsylvania Energy Consumer Alliance Public Citizen Public Utility Law Project of New York Rhode Island Manufacturers Association TDU Systems (Central Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.; Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.; North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation; PowerSouth Energy Cooperative; and Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.) Transmission Access Policy Study Group West Virginia Energy Users Group Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group